# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

SARAH ASHKENAZI, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AVANTE USA, LTD, CP MEDICAL, LLC and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendants.

Civil Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

# **CIVIL ACTION**

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff SARAH ASHKENAZI (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), a New Jersey resident, brings this class action complaint by and through his attorneys, Marcus Law, LLC, against Defendant AVANTE USA, CP MEDICAL, LLC and JOHN DOES 1-25, (hereinafter "Defendants"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* and 28 U.S.C. § 2201. If applicable, the Court also has pendent jurisdiction over the state law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

3. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of New Jersey consumers seeking redress for Defendant's actions of using an unfair and unconscionable means to collect a

debt.

- 4. Defendant's actions violated § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA") which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.
- 5. Plaintiff is seeking damages, and declaratory and injunctive relief.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person and a resident of the State of New Jersey, and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692(a)(3).
- 7. Defendant, Avante USA, LTD ("Avante") is a collection agency with an office located at 63600 S Gessner Road, Suite 225, Houston, Texas 77063-5184.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Avante is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 9. Avante is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 10. Defendant, CP Medical, LLC ("CP"), is a limited liability company with an office at 34859 County Road 120, Frazee MN 56544-8823.
- 11. Upon information and belief, CP is a purchaser of performing and non-performing consumer credit debts, which are in default at the time the debts are acquired.
- 12. Upon information and belief, CP is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 13. In connection with its debt servicing operations, CP routinely hires other debt collectors, such as Avante, to send dunning letters to consumers in an effort to collect money on

- defaulted consumer debts that CP acquires.
- 14. CP is a "debt collector," as defined under the FDCPA under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 15. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

### **CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

- 16. Plaintiff brings claims, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP") Rule 23, individually and on behalf of the following New Jersey consumer class (the "Class"):
  - All New Jersey consumers who received a collection letter from Avante on an
    obligation owed or allegedly owed to CP that contain at least one of the alleged
    violations arising from Defendant's violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq..
  - The Class period begins one year to the filing of this Action.
- 17. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
  - Upon information and belief, the Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons who have received debt collection letters and/or notices from the Defendant that violate specific provisions of the FDCPA. Plaintiff is complaining of a standard form letter and/or notice that is sent to hundreds of persons (*See* Exhibit A, except that the undersigned attorney has, in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2 partially redacted the financial account numbers in an effort to protect Plaintiff's privacy);

- There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which
  predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These
  common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
  - a. Whether Defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA;
  - Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by Defendant's conduct;
  - c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendant's wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
  - d. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory and/or injunctive relief.
- Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.
- Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class.
- Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without

the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as monetary damages. If Defendant's conduct is allowed to proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class,
 thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding
 declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

## **ALLEGATIONS OF FACT**

- 13. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 14. Some time prior to February 08, 2016 an obligation was allegedly incurred to Jersey Shore University Medical Center ("JSUM").
- 15. The JSUM obligation arose out of a transaction in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 16. The alleged JSUM obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C.\( \) 1692a(5).
- 17. JSUM is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 18. Some point prior to February 08, 2016, the JSUM debt was sold, consigned, or otherwise transferred to CP for collections.

19. CP directly or through an intermediary contracted the AVANTE to collect the alleged

debt.

20. AVANTE collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred

for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States

Postal Services, telephone and internet.

21. On or about February 08, 2016, the Defendant caused to be delivered to the Plaintiff a

collection letter in an attempt to collect the alleged debt. See Exhibit A.

22. The letter was sent or caused to be sent by persons employed by Defendant.

23. The letter is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2).

24. The letter was the first communication between Avante and the Plaintiff.

25. The letter failed to notify the Plaintiff of her rights under 15 U.S.C. §1692g of the

FDCPA.

26. The Defendant failed to, within five days of the initial communication, notify the Plaintiff

of her rights under 15 U.S.C. §1692g of the FDCPA.

27. Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions within compliance

with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to

ensure compliance with the law.

28. It is the policy and practice of CP and Avante to send and cause the sending of letters, in

the forms attached hereto as **Exhibits** A, that fail to properly notify consumers of their

validation rights under the FDCPA.

**COUNT I** 

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

15 U.S.C. §1692g et seq. (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

6

- 29. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 30. Defendants' debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated section 15 U.S.C. § 1692g of the FDCPA.
- 31. Pursuant to 15 USC §1692g, a debt collector:
  - (a) Within five days after the initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection any debt, a debt collector shall, unless the following information is contained in the initial communication or the consumer has paid the debt, send the consumer a written notice containing
    - (1) The amount of the debt;
    - (2) The name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed;
    - (3) A statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt-collector;
    - (4) A statement that is the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and
    - (5) A statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.
- 32. The Defendants violated said section by failing to notify the Plaintiff of her validation rights in the initial communication or within five days of the initial communication.
- 33. By reason thereof, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692g *et seq.* of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory

damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and Ari Marcus, Esq., as Class Counsel;
  - (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
  - (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;
- (d) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses;
  - (e) Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: March 17, 2016 /s/Ari H. Marcus

Ari Marcus, Esq.
MARCUS & ZELMAN, LLC
1500 Allaire Avenue, Suite 101
Ocean, New Jersey 07712
(732) 695-3282 telephone
(732) 298-6256 facsimile
ari@marcuszelman.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 17, 2016 /s/ Ari H. Marcus
Ari Marcus, Esq.

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2** 

I, Ari H. Marcus, the undersigned attorney of record for Plaintiff, do hereby certify to my

own knowledge and based upon information available to me at my office, the matter in

controversy is not the subject of any other action now pending in any court or in any arbitration

or administrative proceeding.

Dated: March 17, 2016

/s/ Ari H. Marcus

Ari Marcus, Esq.

9